IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

UNITED STATES	*	
v.	*	CRIM. NO.20-662 (SDW)
•	*	CRIMI. 176.20 002 (SB W)
KEVIN CHERRY	*	

		RENCING/TELECONFERENCING R SENTENCINGS
TORTELONI	I LEAS AND/O	K SENTENCINGS
In accordance with Standing Or	rder 2020-06, thi	s Court finds:
That the Defendant (or the Juve	enile) has consen	ted to the use of video
teleconferencing/teleconferencing to co	onduct the procee	eding(s) held today, after consultation
with counsel; and		
That the proceeding(s) to be he	ld today cannot b	be further delayed without serious harm
defendant does not want to jeopardiz hearing. 2. Inability to conduct in-per	y to reach a resolute the plea by underson hearing for	ution through a stipulated plea agreement. The due delay and wishes to proceed with a Rule 11 indefinite period. 3. Consent of defendant.
Accordingly, the proceeding(s) held on X Video Teleconferencing	this date may be	e conducted by:
	deo teleconferen	cing is not reasonably available for the
following reason:		
The Defendant (or the	e Juvenile) is deta	ained at a facility lacking video
teleconferencing capability.		
Other:		
Date: August 4, 2020		s/Susan D. Wigenton

Hon. Susan D. Wigenton United States District Judge

11